

# Finance, General Purposes and Estates Committee of the Board of Governors of the City of London Freemen's School

Date: FRIDAY, 4 FEBRUARY 2022

**Time:** 1.00 pm

Venue: HYBRID PUBLIC MEETING (ACCESSIBLE REMOTELY)

Members: Nicholas Goddard (Chairman)

Deputy Philip Woodhouse

(Deputy Chairman)
Deputy Roger Chadwick

Michael Hudson

Andrew McMillan, (co-opted) Councillor Chris Townsend

**Enquiries:** Jayne Moore

Jayne.Moore@cityoflondon.gov.uk

#### Accessing the virtual public meeting

Members of the public can observe this public meeting at the below link: https://youtu.be/4hSBuSTNUAA

A recording of the public meeting will be available via the above link following the end of the public meeting for up to one municipal year. Please note: Online meeting recordings do not constitute the formal minutes of the meeting; minutes are written and are available on the City of London Corporation's website. Recordings may be edited, at the discretion of the proper officer, to remove any inappropriate material.

John Barradell

Town Clerk and Chief Executive

#### **AGENDA**

#### Part 1 - Public Agenda

#### 1. APOLOGIES

### 2. MEMBERS' DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

#### 3. MINUTES

To agree the public minutes of the meeting held on 04 November 2021.

For Decision (Pages 5 - 8)

#### 4. RISK REGISTER REVIEW

To receive the report of The Chamberlain and of the Bursar.

For Information (Pages 9 - 34)

### 5. QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE SUB COMMITTEE

#### 6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT

#### 7. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

MOTION - That under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

For Decision

#### Part 2 - Non Public Agenda

#### 8. MINUTES

To agree the non-public minutes of the meeting held on 04 November 2021.

For Decision (Pages 35 - 38)

#### 9. PROPOSED REVENUE BUDGET 2022-23

To receive the report of The Chamberlain and of the Bursar.

For Information (Pages 39 - 106)

#### 10. **REPORT OF THE BURSAR**

To receive the report of the Bursar.

For Information (Pages 107 - 148)

### 11. CHARITIES REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS - CLFS BURSARY FUND AND CHARITIES ADMINISTERED IN CONNECTION WITH CLFS

To receive the report of the Bridge House Estate Managing Director.

For Information (Pages 149 - 158)

#### 12. OUTSTANDING ACTIONS

To receive the Outstanding Actions list.

For Information (Pages 159 - 160)

- 13. NON-PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE SUB COMMITTEE
- 14. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE SUB COMMITTEE AGREES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED



## FINANCE, GENERAL PURPOSES AND ESTATES COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE CITY OF LONDON FREEMEN'S SCHOOL Thursday, 4 November 2021

Minutes of the meeting of the Finance, General Purposes and Estates Committee of the Board of Governors of the City of London Freemen's School held at Ashtead on Thursday, 4 November 2021 at 1.00 pm

#### Present

#### Members:

Nicholas Goddard (Chairman)
Deputy Philip Woodhouse (Deputy Chairman)
Michael Hudson
Andrew McMillan
Councillor Chris Townsend

#### Officers:

Roland Martin - Headmaster of the City of London

Freemen's School

Jo Moore - Bursar, City of London Freemen's

School

Charles Hurtley - Interim bursar

Paul Hykin - IT Director, City of London Freemen's

School

David Boddy - International Schools Development,

City of London Freemen's School

Jayne Moore - Clerk

Kerry Nicholls - Clerk

Nicholas Basye - Senior Accountant

Steven Reynolds - Chamberlain's Department

Ola Obadara - Property Projects Director, City

Surveyor's Department

Charlie Pearce - Technology support

#### 1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Deputy Chadwick and from Stuart Bachelor.

### 2. MEMBERS' DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

There were no declarations.

#### 3. MINUTES

Members received the public minutes of the meeting of 12 May 2021.

**RESOLVED,** that the public minutes of that meeting be approved as an accurate record.

#### 4. DEPUTY HEAD POLICIES REPORT

Members asked whether staff were confident that devices were being properly monitored "at fixtures" and elsewhere (in reference to p.20 of the agenda pack), and Members heard that pupils were monitored wherever possible, alongside electronic restrictions on accessing unauthorised sites and initiatives to educate parents and pupils around the use of technology.

**RESOLVED,** that the Committee recommend to the Full Board that the Online Safety Policy be approved at the next Full Board meeting.

### 5. QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE

There were no questions.

#### 6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT

There was no other business.

#### 7. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

**RESOLVED**, That under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

#### 8. MINUTES

Members received the draft non-public minutes of the meeting of 12 May 2021.

#### 9. OUTSTANDING ACTIONS

Members received a report of the Town Clerk regarding the Board's outstanding actions.

#### 10. BURSAR'S REPORT

The Committee received the non-public Bursar's report.

#### 11. PROPOSED 2022/23 REVENUE BUDGET

The Committee received the report of The Chamberlain and Bursar.

#### 12. REPAIRS, MAINTENANCE & IMPROVEMENT WORKS 2022/23

The Committee received the report 'Repairs Maintenance and Improvement Works 2022-2023'.

#### 13. PROPOSED CITY OF LONDON FREEMEN'S INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL

The Committee discussed the proposed City of London Freemen's International School.

### 14. NON-PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE

15. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE COMMITTEE AGREES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED

The meeting ended at 2.00 pm

-----

Chairman

Contact Officer: Jayne Moore Jayne.Moore@cityoflondon.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Committee:	Date:
Finance, General Purposes and Estates Committee of	4 February 2022
the Board of Governors of the City of London Freemen's	
School	
Board of Governors of the City of London Freemen's	4 March 2022
School	
Subject:	Public
Annual review of risk registers for: City of London	
Freemen's School Bursary Fund and Charities	
Administered in Connection with the City of London	
Freemen's School	
Which outcomes in the City Corporation's	3, 4, 8
Corporate Plan does this proposal aim to impact	
directly?	
Does this proposal require extra revenue and/or	N/A
capital spending?	
If so, how much?	N/A
What is the source of Funding?	N/A
Has this Funding Source been agreed with the	N/A
Chamberlain's Department?	
Report of:	For Information
The Chamberlain	
The Bursar of the City of London Freemen's School	
Report author:	
Abiola Yusuff (Chamberlain's Department)	

#### Summary

This report provides a key risks register at Appendix 2 for City of London Freemen's School Bursary Fund (charity no: 284769) and, at Appendix 3, a key risks register for Charities Administered in Connection with the City of London Freemen's School (charity no: 312120), both for your review on behalf of the trustee (the City of London Corporation), to ensure that existing risks are reconsidered, any new risks are identified and that appropriate measures are in place to mitigate those risks. These registers have been prepared and scored using the City of London Corporation Risk Matrix at Appendix 1.

The risk register for City of London Freemen's School Bursary Fund contains eight risks, of which five have a green score and three are amber. No new risks have been identified since the last annual register was presented to this Board. Risk 03 score has changed from 8 to target score of 6 due to the success of the school's outreach function.

There are seven risks on the risk register of Charities Administered in Connection with the City of London Freemen's School, five of which are green and two are amber. No new risks have been identified since the last annual register was presented to this Board. Risk 02 score has changed from 8 to target score of 6 due to the success of the school's outreach function.

There are currently no red risks in either charity.

#### Recommendation

Members are asked to review the registers to confirm that they satisfactorily set out the risks facing the charities and that there are appropriate measures in place to mitigate those risks.

#### Main Report

#### Background

- This report provides a key risks register for The City of London Freemen's School Bursary Fund at Appendix 2 and a key risks register for Charities Administered in connection with The City of London Freemen's School at Appendix 3, both administered by the Board of Governors of the City of London Freemen's School on behalf of the trustee (the City of London Corporation).
- 2. In accordance with the Charity Commission's Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP), Trustees are required to confirm in the charity's annual report that any major risks to which the charity is exposed have been identified and reviewed and that systems are established to mitigate those risks.
- 3. The Charities SORP requires that the register is reviewed annually to ensure that existing risks are reconsidered, and any new risks are identified.

#### **Review of Risks**

4. The method of assessing risk reflects the City of London's standard approach to risk assessment as set out in its Risk Management Strategy as approved by the Audit and Risk Management Committee. The City of London Corporation risk matrix, which explains how risks are assessed and scored, is attached at Appendix 1 of this report.

#### 5. City of London Freemen's School Bursary Fund

The first risk register to be reviewed is for The City of London Freemen's School Bursary Fund and is set out in Appendix 2. The risk register is now recorded on the City's risk management system 'Pentana', and so it is now in line with the presentation of other risk registers across the City. The register contains eight risks as summarised below:

- 1. Income from investments may decline overall risk score of amber (12);
- 2. Funding from the City may reduce overall risk score of amber (8);
- 3. Insufficient beneficiaries overall risk score of amber (6);
- 4. Charity lacks direction, strategy, and forward planning overall green (2);
- 5. Applicants do not disclose full details overall risk score of green (2);
- 6. Loss of staff overall risk score of green (2);
- 7. Awards may not comply with objectives overall risk score of green (2); and
- 8. Conflicts of interest overall risk score of green (1).

#### Mitigation of amber risks

Risk 1 is mitigated through investments being managed by a professional fund manager, whose performance is monitored by the Chamberlain and Financial Investment Board. All current measures are being taken and nothing further can be done (at this time) to mitigate the risk.

Risk 2 is mitigated through trying to agree a phased approach to any funding reduction to ensure that replacement funds could be found from elsewhere. Over the last year, the School has proposed the International School Project which is expected to result in additional funds being available in future years that will be used to provide bursaries to pupils.

Risk 3 is mitigated by the School through continued advertising and by actively looking to find suitable beneficiaries.

#### 6. Charities Administered in Connection with the City of London Freemen's School

The second risk register to be reviewed is for the Charities Administered in connection with The City of London Freemen's School and is set out in Appendix 3. This risk register is also now recorded on the City's risk management system 'Pentana'. The register contains seven risks as summarised below:

- 1. Income from investments may decline overall risk score of amber (12);
- 2. Insufficient beneficiaries overall risk score of amber (6);
- 3. Charity lacks direction, strategy, and forward planning overall green (2);
- 4. Applicants do not disclose full details overall risk score of green (2);
- 5. Loss of staff overall risk score of green (2);
- 6. Awards may not comply with objectives overall risk score of green (2); and
- 7. Conflicts of interest overall risk score of green (1).

#### Mitigation of amber risks

Risk 1 is mitigated through investments being managed by a professional fund manager, whose performance is monitored by the Chamberlain and Financial Investment Board. All current measures are being taken and nothing further can be done (at this time) to mitigate the risk.

Risk 2 is mitigated by the School through continued advertising and by actively looking to find suitable beneficiaries.

#### Conclusion

7. The various risks faced by both charities have been reviewed and Members are asked to confirm that the attached registers satisfactorily set out the key risks together with their potential impact and that appropriate measures are in place to mitigate the risks identified.

#### **Appendices**

Appendix 1 - City of London Corporation Risk Matrix

- Appendix 2 Risk Register for the CoL Freemen's School Bursary Fund (charity no: 284769)
- Appendix 3 Risk Register for the Charities Administered in connection with the CoL Freemen's School (charity no: 312120)

#### Contacts:

#### **Steven Reynolds**

Group Accountant, Chamberlain's Department

T: 07949 126770

E: <u>steven.reynolds@cityoflondon.gov.uk</u>

#### **Charles Hurtley**

Bursar, City of London Freemen's School

T: 07510382865

E: Charles.hurtley@cityoflondon.gov.uk



#### City of London Corporation Risk Matrix (Black and white version)

Note: A risk score is calculated by assessing the risk in terms of likelihood and impact. By using the likelihood and impact criteria below (top left (A) and bottom right (B) respectively) it is possible to calculate a risk score. For example a risk assessed as Unlikely (2) and with an impact of Serious (2) can be plotted on the risk scoring grid, top right (C) to give an overall risk score of a green (4). Using the risk score definitions bottom right (D) below, a green risk is one that just requires actions to maintain that rating.

#### (A) Likelihood criteria

	Rare (1)	Unlikely (2)	Possible (3)	Likely (4)
Criteria	Less than 10%	10 – 40%	40 – 75%	More than 75%
Probability	Has happened rarely/never before	Unlikely to occur	Fairly likely to occur	More likely to occur than not
Time period	Unlikely to occur in a 10 year period	Likely to occur within a 10 year period	Likely to occur once within a one year period	Likely to occur once within three months
P Merical P	Less than one chance in a hundred thousand (<10-5)	Less than one chance in ten thousand (<10-4)	Less than one chance in a thousand (<10-3)	Less than one chance in a hundred (<10-2)

#### ယ (B) Impact criteria

Impact title	Definitions
Minor (1)	Service delivery/performance: Minor impact on service, typically up to one day. Financial: financial loss up to 5% of budget. Reputation: Isolated service user/stakeholder complaints contained within business unit/division. Legal/statutory: Litigation claim or find less than £5000. Safety/health: Minor incident including injury to one or more individuals. Objectives: Failure to achieve team plan objectives.
Serious (2)	Service delivery/performance: Service disruption 2 to 5 days. Financial: Financial loss up to 10% of budget. Reputation: Adverse local media coverage/multiple service user/stakeholder complaints. Legal/statutory: Litigation claimable fine between £5000 and £50,000.  Safety/health: Significant injury or illness causing short-term disability to one or more persons.  Objectives: Failure to achieve one or more service plan objectives.
Major (4)	Service delivery/performance: Service disruption > 1 - 4 weeks. Financial: Financial loss up to 20% of budget. Reputation: Adverse national media coverage 1 to 3 days. Legal/statutory: Litigation claimable fine between £50,000 and £500,000. Safety/health: Major injury or illness/disease causing long-term disability to one or more people objectives: Failure to achieve a strategic plan objective.
Extreme (8)	Service delivery/performance: Service disruption > 4 weeks. Financial: Financial loss up to 35% of budget. Reputation: National publicity more than three days. Possible resignation leading member or chief officer. Legal/statutory: Multiple civil or criminal suits. Litigation claim or find in excess of £500,000. Safety/health: Fatality or life-threatening illness/disease (e.g. mesothelioma) to one or more persons. Objectives: Failure to achieve a major corporate objective.

#### (C) Risk scoring grid

			Imp	act	
po	Х	Minor (1)	Serious (2)	Major (4)	Extreme (8)
	Likely (4)	4 Green	8 Amber	16 Red	32 Red
Likelihood	Possible (3)	3 Green	6 Amber	12 Amber	24 Red
_	Unlikely (2)	2 Green	4 Green	8 Amber	16 Red
	Rare (1)	1 Green	2 Green	4 Green	8 Amber

#### (D) Risk score definitions

RED	Urgent action required to reduce rating
AMPED	Action required to maintain or reduce rating
AMBER	Action required to maintain or reduce rating
GREEN	Action required to maintain rating

This is an extract from the City of London Corporate Risk Management Strategy, published in May 2014.

Contact the Corporate Risk Advisor for further information. Ext 1297

October 2015

This page is intentionally left blank

### CLFS Bursary Fund - Detailed risk register - flight path

**Report Author:** Abiola Yusuff **Generated on:** 27 January 2022



#### Rows are sorted by Risk Score

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CFAR SC CFS BF 01 Fsk of decline in the capital value of the investment and the investment income that is received annually 09-Apr-2021	Cause: Economic conditions worsen Event: Reduction in Charities Pool income Effect: Greater reliance on the school to be able to obtain income from other sources	Impact	No change to current risk score  For the year ended 31 March 2021 Charities Pool income was £46,171 (31 March 2020: £36,713). This was 17.1% of the charity's total income in the year to 31 March 2021 (2019/20: 12.4%)  26 Jan 2022	Impact 12	31-Mar-2023	

Action no, Title,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHAR SC CLFS BF 01a Professional Fund Management	To maintain the existing arrangements for the fund to be professionally managed.		Kate Limna	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
	To ensure that the fund manager's performance is monitored by the Chamberlain.	Continue with existing controls- Report was presented to the Financial Investment Board on the 30th June 2021	Kate Limna	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	e	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS BF 02 Funding from the CoL may reduce 09-Apr-2021 Roland Martin	Cause: CoL look to make changes to their budget policy Event: Shortfall in funding for the charity Effect: Drain charity reserves; Greater reliance on other income sources lead to adverse user reaction and bad publicity.	Tikelihood		The School is aware of continued policy pressures on the provision of Bursary funding to the City's Independent Schools.  26 Jan 2022	Likelihood	2	31-Mar-2023	

Title,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHAR SC FS 02a Representation to CoLC	Appropriate representation to be made to the City Corporation if budget policy provides risk to charity.		Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
CLFS 02b Additional	The School to continue to pursue additional sources of bursary funding, for example the International School Project which has been proposed within the last year	Continue with existing controls.	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS BF 03 Insufficient beneficiaries that meet the objects of the trust	Cause: A potential lack of publicity; objects of the trust are not appropriate.  Event: Fewer bursary students attending CLFS  Impact: Reputational damage for having fewer bursary pupils than intended/expected	Likelihood	6	No change to current risk score.	Impact	6	31-Mar-2023	
09-Apr-2021 Roland Martin				26 Jan 2022				

De,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CLFS BF 03a Advertising		promote Partnership working and related opportunities.	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	z Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS BF 04 Charity lacks direction, strategy and forward planning	Cause: Charity hasn't reviewed and updated their strategic plan, and long term direction. Event: Objects of the charity are not met. Effect: Reputational; fewer bursary students attending CLFS.	Impact	2	No change to current risk score.	Likelihood	2	31-Mar-2023	
09-Apr-2021 Roland Martin				26 Jan 2022				

De,	Action description		Latest Note Date	Due Date
CLFS BF 04a	Regular review of the strategic plan which sets out the key aims, objectives and policies, financial plans and budgets	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
		Charles Hurtley; Roland Martin	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS BF 05 Applicants do not disclose full details 09-Apr-2021 Roland Martin	Cause: The application process is not as thorough as it should be <b>Event:</b> Not enough information is provided to ensure the correct decision is reached <b>Effect:</b> Bursaries may be awarded to students inappropriately.	Impact	2	No change to current risk score.  26 Jan 2022	Impact	2	31-Mar-2023	

Action no, Title,	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
	Effective due diligence is undertaken for every application for financial assistance	Continue with existing controls	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
	Annual reviews occur for financial assistance awarded for longer than one year.	Continue with existing controls	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS BF 06 Losing staff involved with processing bursary applications	Cause: Natural employee turnover Event: Staff involved with the bursary process leave the school Effect: Fewer staff will have experience of the process with bursary applications	Likelihood	2	No change to current risk score.	Likelihood	2	31-Mar-2023	
09-Apr-2021 Roland Martin				26 Jan 2022				
Notalia Martin								

De,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
A SC	Ensure that suitable guidance and notes are maintained and reviewed regularly.	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS BF 07 Bursaries may be given for purposes not complying with charity's objectives 09-Apr-2021 Roland Martin	Cause: Lack of sufficiently robust process in the allocation of bursaries  Event: Undeserving students are awarded bursaries  Effect: Reputational damage to the School as they are not helping appropriate students	Likelihood	2	No change to current risk score.  The review of the bursary guidelines has been put on hold until the conclusion of the Tomlinson review  26 Jan 2022	Likelihood	2	31-Mar-2023	

Action no,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHAR SC CLFS BF 07a	Ensure that Trustees and school officers have the bursary guidelines before them when agreeing bursaries.	6	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS BF 08 Conflict of interest 09-Apr-2021 Roland Martin	Cause: Employees take advantage of their knowledge of applying for a bursary.  Event: Employees with knowledge of the bursary process apply for their child to have a bursary.  Effect: Reputational damage to the school if it was reported to the media that staff were able to take advantage of the bursary process.	Impact	1	No change to current risk score  26 Jan 2022	Impact	1	31-Mar-2023	

Action no, Title,	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
	Maintain and review the protocol for disclosure of potential conflict of interest.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

### CLFS ICW Charities Administered - Detailed risk register - flight path

**Report Author:** Abiola Yusuff **Generated on:** 27 January 2022



#### Rows are sorted by Risk Score

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	z Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
sk of decline	Cause: Economic conditions worsen Event: Reduction in Charities Pool income Effect: Greater reliance on the school to be able to obtain income from other sources	Impact		No change to current risk score  For the year ended 31 March 2021 Charities Pool income was £8,337 (31 March 2020: £7,337) As a % of total income for 31 March 2021 = 55.3% (31 March 2020 = 50.4%)	Impact	12	31-Mar-2023	
Chamber of the first								

Action no, Title,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
	To maintain the existing arrangements for the fund to be professionally managed.		Kate Limna	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
CLFS ICW 01b	To ensure that the fund manager's performance is monitored by the Chamberlain.	Continue with existing controls- Report was presented to the Financial Investment Board on the 30th June 2021	Kate Limna	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
	Cause: A potential lack of publicity; objects of the trust are not appropriate.  Event: Fewer award students attending CLFS  Impact: Reputational damage for having fewer award pupils than intended/expected	Likelihood	6	No change to current risk score.	Likelihood	6	31-Mar-2023	
12-Apr-2021 Roland Martin				26 Jan 2022				

De,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
Advertising		promote Partnership working and related opportunities.	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CLFS ICW 03 Charity lacks	Cause: Charity hasn't reviewed and updated their strategic plan, and long term direction. Event: Objects of the charity are not met. Effect: Reputational; fewer award students attending CLFS.	Likelihood	2	No change to current risk score.	Impact	2	31-Mar-2023	
12-Apr-2021 Roland Martin				26 Jan 2022				

De,	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
CLFS ICW 03a	Regular review of the strategic plan which sets out the key aims, objectives and policies, financial plans and budgets.		Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
CHAR SC CLFS ICW 03b Financial monitoring		A report was presented to committee on 23 September 2021 that considered the cash available in the charity, with the next report to be presented in June 2022.	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	z Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
	Cause: The application process is not as thorough as it should be <b>Event:</b> Not enough information is provided to ensure the correct decision is reached <b>Effect:</b> Prices may be awarded to students inappropriately.	Impact	2	No change to current risk score.  26 Jan 2022	Impact	2	31-Mar-2023	

Action no, Title,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
	Effective due diligence is undertaken for every application for financial assistance	Continue with existing controls	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
	Annual reviews occur for financial assistance awarded for longer than one year.	Continue with existing controls	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & So	core	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS ICW 05 Losing staff involved with processing award applications	Cause: Natural employee turnover Event: Staff involved with the award process leave the school Effect: Fewer staff will have experience of the process with award applications	Likelihood	2	No change to current risk score.	Impact	2	31-Mar-2023	
12-Apr-2021 Roland Martin				26 Jan 2022				

<b>D</b> le,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
A SC	Ensure that suitable guidance and notes are maintained and reviewed regularly.	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	z Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
CHAR SC CLFS ICW 06 Awards may be given for purposes not complying with charity's objectives 12-Apr-2021 Roland Martin	Cause: Lack of sufficiently robust process in the allocation of awards Event: Undeserving students are awarded prices Effect: Reputational damage to the School as they are not helping appropriate students	kelihood	2	No change to current risk score.  26 Jan 2022	Impact	2	31-Mar-2023	

Action no,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHAR SC CLFS ICW 06a	Ensure that Trustees and school officers have the award guidelines before them when agreeing awards.	8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	c Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Sc	core	Target Date/Risk Approach	Flight path
Conflict of interest 12-Apr-2021	Cause: Employees take advantage of their knowledge of applying for an award.  Event: Employees with knowledge of the award process apply for their child to have a price.  Effect: Reputational damage to the school if it was reported to the media that staff were able to take advantage of the award process.	Impact	1	No change to current risk score.  26 Jan 2022	Likelihood	1	31-Mar-2023	

Action no, Title,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
CHAR SC CLFS ICW 07a Cotocol	Maintain and review the protocol for disclosure of potential conflict of interest.	Continue with existing controls	Charles Hurtley	26-Jan-2022	31-Mar-2023
e 32					

This page is intentionally left blank

### Agenda Item 8

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted



## Agenda Item 9

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.









































## Agenda Item 10

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.















## Agenda Item 11

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



## Agenda Item 12

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

